

String Tension in QED from Coulomb Gauge Correlators

T.H. Hansson[†] and I. Zahed^{*}

ABSTRACT

We discuss the new Coulomb gauge method for testing confinement and measuring the string tension in the context of the Schwinger model and compact QED in 3 dimensions.

[†] Department of Physics, University of Stockholm
Box 6730, S-113 85, Stockholm, Sweden
email: hansson@vana.physto.se

^{*}Nuclear theory group, Department of physics, SUNY at Stony Brook
Stony Brook, New York, 11794, USA
email: zahed@sbnuc1.physics.edu

[†]Supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council.

^{*}Supported in part by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-88ER40388.

There are two standard ways to define confinement and measure the string tension. The first consists of calculating expectation values of Wilson loops, and the other of measuring correlation functions of Polyakov loops (or Wilson lines). In the confined phase of a pure gauge theory these functions fall off exponentially with the area enclosed (by the loop in the first case and between the loops in the second). In the presence of fermions, neither of the methods provides a test for confinement.

Recently Marinari *et.al.* proposed to use the correlation function of time-like gauge fields in Coulomb gauge as an alternative way to measure the string tension, and they also argued that their method will be a good test for confinement even when fermions are present. By a lattice simulation of pure SU(3) Yang-Mills theory they demonstrated that their method is efficient for measuring the string tension.

Here we consider this method in the context of abelian models, in particular the Schwinger model and 3 dimensional compact QED. The first model is interesting since the fermions completely screens the perturbative linear potential, and the other since it is confining due to monopoles.[2]. Following Ref. [1] we consider the (Euclidian) correlation function between time-like lines (gaugeon) in Coulomb gauge,

$$C(T, L) = \langle e^{ie \int_0^T d\tau A_0(\tau, \vec{0})} e^{-ie \int_0^T d\tau A_0(\tau, \vec{L})} \rangle \quad , \quad (1)$$

where A_0 is the time component of the gauge field, and $\vec{L} = (L, 0, 0, \dots)$. In Coulomb gauge ($\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$) the gauge configurations are specified up to a time dependent gauge transformation (assuming that all fields fall off asymptotically). These transformations leave (1) invariant. Since the theory is abelian, there is no problem with Gribov copies.¹ In Coulomb gauge, the longitudinal electric field² is given by $\vec{E}_L = \vec{\nabla} A_0$, so (1) can be written in a manifestly gauge-invariant way as

$$C(T, L) = \langle e^{-ie \int_0^T d\tau \int_0^L dx_1 E_L^1(\tau, x_1, 0, 0, \dots)} \rangle = e^{-ie \int_0^T d\tau V_C(\vec{x})} \quad (2)$$

where the second equality involving the instantaneous Coulomb potential, $V_C(\vec{x}) = 1/\nabla^2$, holds for non-interacting theories only. From (2) it follows that in the non-interacting case the correlator C simply measures the Coulomb potential.

In 2 dimensions there is no transverse electric field, thus $\int_0^T d\tau \int_0^L dx E_L(\tau, x) = \oint dx^\mu A_\mu$, where the integral is around the rectangle $[0, T] \times [0, L]$, so C is precisely the Wilson loop. If there are no charged fields there will be a string tension $e^2/2$, but in the presence of charges, this will be screened. Taking two-dimensional QED, *i.e.* the Schwinger model, we can use the standard procedure to integrate out the fermions and get a quadratic action for the electric field

$$L = \frac{1}{2} E^2 - \frac{e^2}{\pi} E \frac{1}{\square} E \quad (3)$$

¹ For non-Abelian theories $C(T, L)$ transforms covariantly under the time-dependent residual gauge transformations, *i.e.* $C(T, L) \rightarrow \Lambda(T) C(T, L) \Lambda^\dagger(T)$. Note, however, that the eigenvalues of $C(T, L)$ are gauge invariant.

² The calculations are in Euclidian space, so we use the terms electric, transverse and longitudinal only to keep track of the 0 direction used to define the Coulomb gauge.

The expectation value in (2) is now Gaussian and the result is

$$C(T, L) = e^{-\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \int \int d^2y d^2z \square K_0(e|y-z|/\sqrt{\pi})} \quad (4)$$

For $e = 0$ we obtain $C(T, L) = e^{-\frac{e^2}{2}LT}$ (area law), since $\lim_{e \rightarrow 0} \square K_0 = 2\pi\delta^2(x - y)$. For $e \neq 0$ one can partially integrate (4) to get $C(T, L) = e^{-e\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}(L+T)}$ (perimeter law). This is to be compared with the (connected) correlation function of two Polyakov loops for $e \neq 0$ which has the behaviour $\sim e^{-e\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}T}$ (the L dependence disappear because of the periodicity in the imaginary time direction). We see that the correlator (1) falls off exponentially in L while the Polyakov loop correlator is constant. Whether or not this will persist in higher dimensions so that (1) can be used to establish confinement even in the presence of light quarks, is an open question.

Polyakov has shown that the presence of monopoles in 3 dimensional compact QED decorrelates Wilson loops and produces confinement. In this case the correlator (1) is not the same as the Wilson loop since it is insensitive to the transverse electric field. In Polyakov's approach, the semi-classical electric fields due to monopoles are given by $E^i = \epsilon^{ij}(2\pi\partial_i/\partial^2)\rho$, where ρ is the monopole density. Since this field is purely transverse it will, at least to lowest order, not influence the correlator (1), and we would not expect an area law. For this abelian model it would be interesting to make a lattice measurement not only of (1), but also of the correlator

$$\tilde{C}(T, L) = \langle e^{ie \int_0^L dx_1 A_1(0, x_1, 0)} e^{-ie \int_0^L dx_1 A_1(T, x_1, 0)} \rangle \quad (5)$$

which is related to the transverse electric field and thus gauge invariant.

The new method proposed in [1] provides an interesting alternative test for confinement and measure of the string tension. Judging from the Schwinger model we expect (1) to be sensitive to screening, just like the Wilson loops. There is, however, the interesting possibility that confinement will be signalled by an exponential fall-off in (1) even in the presence of screening. We also propose 3 dimensional compact QED as a good testing ground for the new method.

Acknowledgements

We thank G. Parisi for a useful correspondence. This work was partially supported by the US DOE grant DE-FG-88ER40388.

References

- [1] E. Marinari, M.L. Paciello, G. Parisi and B. Taglienti, Phys. Lett. **298B**, 400 (1993).
- [2] A. M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. **B120**, 429 (1977).